THE THE WES



CRM 88-236 / December 1988

RESEARCH MEMORANDUM

A MAXIMUM-LIKELIHOOD PROCEDURE FOR DEVELOPING A COMMON METRIC IN ITEM-RESPONSE THEORY

P. R. Divgi



Hudson Institute



CE TTER FOR NAVAL ANALYSES

4401 F. d Avenue • Post Office Box 16268 • Alexandria, Virginia 2230: 0268

The locument has been approved for this telegree and sales in that with a unlimited.

89 6 02 026

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED

Work conducted under contract N00014-87-C-0001.

This Research Memorandum represents the best opinion of CNA at the time of issue. It does not necessarily represent the opinion of the Department of the Navy.

ACCESSIO Arlington, Virginia 22217 11. TITLE (Include Secunic Classication) A Maximum—Likelihood Procedure for Developing a Common Metric in Item-Response Theory 12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) D.R. Divgi 13a. TYPE OF REPORT 13b. TIME COVERED FROM 10 December 1988 12 15. PAGE CO December 1988 12 16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION 17. COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP ASVAB (Armed Services Vicational Aptitude Battery), CAT (Computerized Adaptive Testing), Maximum likelihood estimation, Parameters, Statistical anal Statistical processes, Test methods 19. ABSTRACT (Commune on reverse if necessary and identity by block number) Because the ability scale in item-response theory is arbitrary, if two item pools are calibrated in two different samples, their parameter estimates must be placed on a common metric using items administered in both calibrations. In this memorandum, a maxilikelihood procedure for doing so is derived and illustrated.	REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE								
28. SECHNITY CLASSFECATION AUTHORITY 29. DECLASSIFICATION DOWNORADING SCHEDULE 4. PERFORMING GRGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) CRM 88-236 6a. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION [60. OFFICE SYMBOL (**A PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)) CCNA Center for Naval Analyses 6a. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION CEnter for Naval Analyses 6a. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 4401 Ford Avenue 4401 Ford Avenue 4402 Ford Avenue 6b. OFFICE SYMBOL (**A ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 6c. ADD	1				1b. RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS				
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S) CRM 88-236 6a. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION Center for Naval Analyses 6c. ADDRESS (Civ.), State, and ZIP Code) 7d. ADDRESS (Civ.), State, and ZIP Code) 7d. ADDRESS (Civ.), State, and ZIP Code) 4401 Ford A venue Alexandria, Virginia 22302-0268 8a. NAME OF FUNDING ORGANIZATION 6c. ADDRESS (Civ.), State, and ZIP Code) 7d. ADDRESS (Civ.), State, and ZIP Code) 7d. ADDRESS (Civ.), State, and ZIP Code) 8d. OFFICE SYMBOL 7d. ADDRESS (Civ.), State, and ZIP Code) 8d. ADDRESS (Civ.	2a. SECURITY C	LASSIFICATION AUT	HORITY		3. DISTRIBUTION	AVAILABILITY OF RE	PORT		
CRM 88-236 68. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION Center for Naval Analyses 69. OFFICE SYMBOL (If applicable) CNA Commanding General, Marine Corps Combat Development Command 79. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 4401 Ford Avenue Alexandria, Virginia 22302-0268 88. NAME OF FUNDING ORGANIZATION Office of Naval Research 69. OFFICE SYMBOL (In ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) Warfighting Center Quantico, Virginia 22134 N00014-87-C-0001 69. OFFICE SYMBOL (In ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) N00014-87-C-0001 69. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBER RESEARCH N00014-87-C-0001 69. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBER RESEARCH N00014-87-C-0001 11. TITLE include Security Clear funding of the Code Research N00014-87-C-0001 11. TITLE include Security Clear funding of the Code Research N00014-87-C-0001 11. TITLE include Security Clear funding of the Code Research N00014-87-C-0001 N00014-87-C-0001 N00014-87-C-0001 11. SUBJECT NO. ESTIMATION OF PROJECT NO. ESTIMATION O	2b. DECLASSIFIC	CATION / DOWNGRA	DING SCHEDULE						
Center for Naval Analyses Center Commanding General, Marine Corps Combat Development Command 7b. ADDRESS (Civ), State, and ZIP Code) Warfighting Center Quantico, Virginia 22134 Alexandria, Virginia 22302-0268 8a. NAME OF FUNDING ORGANIZATION Office of Naval Research Office of Naval Research Commanding General, Marine Corps Combat Development Command 7b. ADDRESS (Civ), State, and ZIP Code) Warfighting Center Quantico, Virginia 22134 N00014-87-C-0001 8c. ADDRESS (Civ), State, and ZIP Code) N00014-87-C-0001 Source of FUNDING NUMBERS REGGERM ELEMENT NO. Commanding General, Marine Corps Combat Development Commanding General, Marine Corps Combat Development Noncited Contents N00014-87-C-0001 N00014-87-C-0001 Source of FUNDING NUMBERS REGGERM ELEMENT NO. Commanding General, Marine Corps Combat Development N00014-87-C-0001 N00014-87-C-000	4. PERFORMING	ORGANIZATION RE	PORT NUMBER(S)		5. MONITORING O	RGANIZATION REPO	RT NUMBER(S)		
Center for Naval Analyses Commanding General, Marine Corps Combat Development Command 7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) Warfighting Center Quantico, Virginia 22302-0268 8a. NAME OF FUNDING ORGANIZATION ORR Office of Naval Research ONR 10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS PROGRAM NO014-87-C-0001 8e. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS PROGRAM PROJECT NO. ELEMENT NO. 65153M PROGRAM CO031 17 TITLE (Inctore Secure Classification) A Maximum-Likelihood Procedure for Developing a Common Metric in Item-Response Theory 12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) D.R. Divigi 13a. TYPE OF REPORT FIRED GROUP SUB-GROUP 14. DATE OF REPORT (View, Month, Day) 15. PAGE CO December 1988 12 15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION 16. SUB-ECT TERMS (Continue on reverse of necessary and clerify by block number) ASYAB (Armed Services Viccational Aptitude Battery), CAT (Computerized Asyabity Testing), Naximum likelihood estimation, Parameters, Statistical anal Statistical processes, Test methods 19. ABSTRACT (Common on memoral necessary and sendy by obects memory) 19. ABSTRACT (Common on memoral necessary and sendy by obects memory) 19. ABSTRACT (Common on memoral necessary and sendy by obects memory) 19. ABSTRACT (Comm	CRM 88-236								
Sc. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 401 Ford Avenue Alexandria, Virginia 22302-0268 8a. NAME OF FUNDING ORGANIZATION Office of Naval Research Office of Naval Research ONR 8b. OFFICE SYMBOL 9, PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER N00014-87-C-0001 8c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS PROGRAM N00014-87-C-0001 8c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code) 10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS PROGRAM PROJECT NO. ELEMENT NO. 65153M PROGRAM CO031 11. TITLE (Include Secure) Classification) A Maximum-Likelihood Procedure for Developing a Common Metric in Item-Response Theory 12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) D.R. Divigi 13a. TYPE OF REPORT FROM TO December 1988 12 15. PAGE CO Final FROM TO December 1988 12 16. SUB-ECT TERMS (Continue on Invested Incessary and Identify by black number) ASVAB (Armed Services Vicational Aptitude Battery), CAT (Computerized Aspative Testing), Maximum likelihood estimation, Parameters, Statistical and Statistical processes, Test methods 19. ABSTRACT Continue on Invesses Invesses and Investigation of the International Aptitude Battery), CAT (Computerized Aspative Testing), Maximum likelihood estimation, Parameters, Statistical and Statistical processes, Test methods 19. ABSTRACT Continue on Invesses Invesses and International Aptitude Battery), CAT (Computerized Aspative Testing), Waximum likelihood estimations. In this memorandum, a maxilikeli	6a. NAME OF PE	RFORMING ORGAN	IZATION		7a. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION				
4401 Ford Avenue Alexandria, Virginia 22302-0268 8a. NAME OF FUNDING ORGANIZATION Office of Naval Research Office of Naval Research Office of Naval Research Office of Naval Research 8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (preparation) 10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS PROGRAM ELEMENT NO. 65153M PROJECT NO. 11. TITLE (include Security Characteristic) A Maximum-Likelihood Procedure for Developing a Common Metric in Item-Response Theory 12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) D.R. Divgi 13a. TYPE OF REPORT FINAL FILD GROUP SUB-GROUP 14. SUB-JECT TERMS (continue on reverse of necessary and dentity by block number) ASVAB (Armed Services Vicational Aptitude Battery), CAT (Computerized Adaptive Testing), Maximum likelihood estimation, Parameters, Statistical anal Statistical processes, Test methods 19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse of necessary and dentity by seed number) Because the ability scale in item-response theory is arbitrary, if two item pools are calibrated in two different samples, their parameter estimates must be placed on a common metric using items administered in both calibrations. In this memorandum, a maxilikelihood procedure for doing so is derived and illustrated.	Center for Nav	val Analyses		CNA	l				
4401 Ford Avenue Alexandria, Viriginia 22302-0268 8a. NAME OF FUNDING ORGANIZATION Office of Naval Research Office of Naval Research Office of Naval Research 8b. OFFICE SYMBOL (If Repokabbit) ONR NO0014-87-C-0001 1c. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS PROGRAM ELEMENT NO. 15. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS PROGRAM ELEMENT NO. 15. TASK NO. ACCESSIO A Maximum-Likelihood Procedure for Developing a Common Metric in Item-Response Theory 12. PERSONAL AUTHORIS) DR. Divigi 13a. TYPE OF REPORT 14b. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) 15. PAGE CO Final 17c. COSATI CODES FIELD 17c. COSATI CODES FIELD 17c. GROUP 18c. SUB-GROUP 17c. ASYAB (Armed Services Vicational Aptitude Battery), CAT (Computerized Adaptive Testing), Maximum likelihood estimation, Parameters, Statistical anal Statistical processes, Test methods 19c. ABSTRACT (Cortinus on newers of necessary and identity by beck number) Because the ability scale in item-response theory is arbitrary, if two item pools are calibrated in two different samples, their parameter estimates must be placed on a common metric using items administered in both calibrations. In this memorandum, a maxilikelihood procedure for doing so is derived and illustrated.	6c. ADDRESS (Ca	ity, State, and ZIP Co	de)	<u> </u>	7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)				
86. NAME OF FUNDING ORGANIZATION Office of Naval Research Office of Naval Research ONR 10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS N00014-87-C-0001 10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS PROGRAM ELEMENT NO. S5153M PROJECT NO. TASK NO. WORK UNIT ACCESSIO TI. TITLE (Include Security Classification) A Maximum-Likelihood Procedure for Developing a Common Metric in Item-Response Theory 12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) D.R. Divgi 13a. TYPE OF REPORT Final TO December 1988 12 15. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse of necessary and stentify by block number) ASVAB (Armed Services Vicational Aptitude Battery), CAT (Computerized Adaptive Testing), Maximum likelihood estimation, Parameters, Statistical anal Statistical processes, Test methods 19. ABSTRACT (Commune on reverse of necessary and stentify by block number) Because the ability scale in item-response theory is arbitrary, if two item pools are calibrated in two different samples, their parameter estimates must be placed on a common metric using items administered in both calibrations. In this memorandum, a maxilkelihood procedure for doing so is derived and illustrated.			68						
Office of Naval Research ONR N00014-87-C-0001 6c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZiP Code) 800 North Quincy Street Arlington, Virginia 22217 11. TITLE (Incide Security Classification) A Maximum-Likelihood Procedule for Developing a Common Metric in Item-Response Theory 12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) D.R. Divgi 13a. TYPE OF REPORT Final FROM TO December 1988 12. 16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION 17. COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP ASVAB (Armed Services Vicational Aptitude Battery), CAT (Computerized Adaptive Testing), Maximum likelihood estimation, Parameters, Statistical anal Statistical processes, Test methods 19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse of inconseasy and identify by block number) Because the ability scale in item-response theory is arbitrary, if two item pools are calibrated in two different samples, their parameter estimates must be placed on a common metric using items administered in both calibrations. In this memorandum, a maxilkelihood procedure for doing so is derived and illustrated.					9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER			R	
800 North Quincy Street Arlington, Virginia 22217 11. TITLE (Inchose Security Classification) A Maximum-Likelihood Procedure for Developing a Common Metric in Item-Response Theory 12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) D.R. Divgi 13a. TYPE OF REPORT FINAL FROM TO December 1988 12. 15. SUBJECT TERMS (Confines on reverse of necessary and identify by block number) ASVAB (Armed Services Vicational Aptitude Battery), CAT (Computerized Adaptive Testing), Maximum likelihood estimation, Parameters, Statistical anal Statistical processes, Test methods 19. ABSTRACT (Confines on reverse of necessary and identify so block number) Because the ability scale in item-response theory is arbitrary, if two item pools are calibrated in two different samples, their parameter estimates must be placed on a common metric using items administered in both calibrations. In this memorandum, a maxilikelihood procedure for doing so is derived and illustrated.	Office of Nava	al Research			N00014-87-C-0001				
Arlington, Virginia 22217 11. TITLE (Inchese Security Classification) A Maximum—Likelihood Procedure for Developing a Common Metric in Item-Response Theory 12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) D.R. Divgi 13a. TYPE OF REPORT 13b. TIME GOVERED FROM 10 December 1988 12 15. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse Increases) and identify by back number) ASVAB (Armed Services V. (cational Aptitude Battery), CAT (Computerized Adaptive Testing), Maximum likelihood estimation, Parameters, Statistical anal Statistical processes, Test methods 19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse I necessary and identify by back number) Because the ability scale in item-response theory is arbitrary, if two item pools are calibrated in two different samples, their parameter estimates must be placed on a common metric using items administered in both calibrations. In this memorandum, a maxilikelihood procedure for doing so is derived and illustrated.	8c. ADDRESS (C	ity, State, and ZiP Co	de)		10. SOURCE OF F	UNDING NUMBERS			
Artington, Virginia 22217 11. TITLE (Include Security Classification) A Maximum-Likelihood Procedure for Developing a Common Metric in Item-Response Theory 12. PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) D.R. Divgi 13a. TYPE OF REPORT FINA TO December 1988 12 15. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on revenue of necessary and identify by block number) FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP ASVAB (Armed Services Vicational Aptitude Battery), CAT (Computerized Adaptive Testing), Maximum likelihood estimation, Parameters, Statistical anal Statistical processes, Test methods 19. ABSTRACT (Continue on revenue of necessary and identify by block number) Because the ability scale in item-response theory is arbitrary, if two item pools are calibrated in two different samples, their parameter estimates must be placed on a common metric using items administered in both calibrations. In this memorandum, a maxilikelihood procedure for doing so is derived and illustrated.	800 North Qui	incy Street				PROJECT NO.	TASK NO.	WORK UNIT ACCESSION NO.	
A Maximum-Likelihood Procedure for Developing a Common Metric in Item-Response Theory 12 PERSONAL AUTHOR(S) D.R. Divgi 13a. TYPE OF REPORT 13b. TIME COVERED 14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Cay) 15. PAGE CO Final TO December 1988 12 15. SUBPLEMENTARY NOTATION 16. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse of necessary and identity by block number) ASVAB (Armed Services Vicational Aptitude Battery), CAT (Computerized Adaptive Testing), Maximum likelihood estimation, Parameters, Statistical anal Statistical processes, Test methods 19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse of necessary and identity by block number) Because the ability scale in item-response theory is arbitrary, if two item pools are calibrated in two different samples, their parameter estimates must be placed on a common metric using items administered in both calibrations. In this memorandum, a maxilikelihood procedure for doing so is derived and illustrated.						C0031		AGOEGGION NO.	
D.R. Divgi 13a. TYPE OF REPORT 13b. TIME COVERED 14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) 15. PAGE CO Final 16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION 17. COSATI CODES 18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 17. COSATI CODES 18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 18. SVAB (Armed Services Vicational Aptitude Battery), CAT (Computerized Adaptive Testing), Maximum likelihood estimation, Parameters, Statistical anal Statistical processes, Test methods 19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identi		•	due for Developi	ing a Common Metr	ic in Item-Respon	nse Theory			
13a. TYPE OF REPORT 13b. TIME COVERED 14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) 15. PAGE CO Final FROM TO December 1988 12 16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION 17. COSATI CODES 18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse & necessary and identify by block number) ASVAB (Armed Services Vicational Aptitude Battery), CAT (Computerized Adaptive Testing), Maximum likelihood estimation, Parameters, Statistical anal Statistical processes, Test methods 19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse & necessary and identify by block number) Because the ability scale in item-response theory is arbitrary, if two item pools are calibrated in two different samples, their parameter estimates must be placed on a common metric using items administered in both calibrations. In this memorandum, a maxilikelihood procedure for doing so is derived and illustrated.		NUTHOR(S)							
Final FROM TO December 1988 12 16. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION 17. COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP ASVAB (Armed Services Vicational Aptitude Battery), CAT (Computerized Adaptive Testing), Maximum likelihood estimation, Parameters, Statistical anal Statistical processes, Test methods 19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse of necessary and identify by block number) Because the ability scale in item-response theory is arbitrary, if two item pools are calibrated in two different samples, their parameter estimates must be placed on a common metric using items administered in both calibrations. In this memorandum, a maxilikelihood procedure for doing so is derived and illustrated.	D.R. Divgi								
18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 17. COSATI CODES 18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) 18. SUBJECT TERMS (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) ASVAB (Armed Services Vicational Aptitude Battery), CAT (Computerized Adaptive Testing), Maximum likelihood estimation, Parameters, Statistical anal Statistical processes, Test methods 19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) Because the ability scale in item-response theory is arbitrary, if two item pools are calibrated in two different samples, their parameter estimates must be placed on a common metric using items administered in both calibrations. In this memorandum, a maxilikelihood procedure for doing so is derived and illustrated.	13a. TYPE OF RE	PORT	13b. TIME COVERE	D	14. DATE OF REPORT (Year, Month, Day) 15. PAGE COUNT				
17. COSATI CODES FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP ASVAB (Armed Services Vicational Aptitude Battery), CAT (Computerized Adaptive Testing), Maximum likelihood estimation, Parameters, Statistical anal Statistical processes, Test methods 19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identify by block number) Because the ability scale in item-response theory is arbitrary, if two item pools are calibrated in two different samples, their parameter estimates must be placed on a common metric using items administered in both calibrations. In this memorandum, a maxilikelihood procedure for doing so is derived and illustrated.	Final		FROM	то	December 1988			12	
ASVAB (Armed Services Vicational Aptitude Battery), CAT (Computerized Adaptive Testing), Maximum likelihood estimation, Parameters, Statistical anal Statistical processes, Test methods 19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identity by block number) Because the ability scale in item-response theory is arbitrary, if two item pools are calibrated in two different samples, their parameter estimates must be placed on a common metric using items administered in both calibrations. In this memorandum, a maxilikelihood procedure for doing so is derived and illustrated.	16. SUPPLEMEN	TARY NOTATION							
ASVAB (Armed Services Vicational Aptitude Battery), CAT (Computerized Adaptive Testing), Maximum likelihood estimation, Parameters, Statistical anal Statistical processes, Test methods 19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identity by block number) Because the ability scale in item-response theory is arbitrary, if two item pools are calibrated in two different samples, their parameter estimates must be placed on a common metric using items administered in both calibrations. In this memorandum, a maxilikelihood procedure for doing so is derived and illustrated.	17 COSATI COS	NCC		40 CUB ECT TON	15.40				
Adaptive Testing), Maximum likelihood estimation, Parameters, Statistical anal Statistical processes, Test methods 19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identity by black number) Because the ability scale in item-response theory is arbitrary, if two item pools are calibrated in two different samples, their parameter estimates must be placed on a common metric using items administered in both calibrations. In this memorandum, a maxilikelihood procedure for doing so is derived and illustrated.			SUB-GROUP				•	mputerized	
19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse it necessary and identity by block number) Because the ability scale in item-response theory is arbitrary, if two item pools are calibrated in two different samples, their parameter estimates must be placed on a common metric using items administered in both calibrations. In this memorandum, a maxilikelihood procedure for doing so is derived and illustrated.			305-GHCOP	Adaptive Testing	g), Maximum lik	elihood estimation			
19. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary and identity by block number) Because the ability scale in item-response theory is arbitrary, if two item pools are calibrated in two different samples, their parameter estimates must be placed on a common metric using items administered in both calibrations. In this memorandum, a maxilikelihood procedure for doing so is derived and illustrated.				Statistical proces	Statistical processes, Test methods				
Because the ability scale in item-response theory is arbitrary, if two item pools are calibrated in two different samples, their parameter estimates must be placed on a common metric using items administered in both calibrations. In this memorandum, a maxilikelihood procedure for doing so is derived and illustrated.									
	Becau parameter estir	ise the ability sca mates must be pla	le in item-respond aced on a common	se theory is arbitrary n metric using items					
					•				
	j								
20. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT 21. ABSTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION									
	UNCLASSIFIED / UNLIMITED 🗓 SAME AS RPT. 🔲 DTIC USERS					····	1 = -	055105 01115	
22a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL Colonel Preston 22b. TELEPHONE (Include Area Code) 22c. OFFICE SYME MCCDC	22a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE INDIVIDUAL Colonel Preston				22b. TELEPHONE	(Include Area Code)		c. OFFICE SYMBOL MCCDC	



CENTER FOR NAVAL ANALYSES

A Division of Hudson Institute 4401 Ford Avenue • Post Office Box 16268 • Alexandria, Virginia 22302-0268 • (703) 824-2000

1 February 1989

MEMORANDUM FOR DISTRIBUTION LIST

Subj: Center for Naval Analyses Research Memorandum 88-236

Encl: (1) CNA Research Memorandum 88-236, A Maximum-Likelihood Procedure for Developing a Common Metric in Item-Response Theory, by D. R. Divgi, Dec 1988

- 1. Enclosure (1) is forwarded as a matter of possible interest.
- 2. A computerized adaptive version of the Armed Services Vocational Aptitude Battery has been developed. This development required application of item-response theory to two different item pools administered to non-equivalent samples. In such cases, the ability scales in the two samples must be placed on a common metric. A maximumlikelihood procedure for doing so is presented and illustrated with examples.

Lewis R. Cabe

Director

Manpower and Training Program

Distribution List: Reverse page



Acces	mion For					
DTIC Upanin	GRA&I TAB cunced fication	*				
By						
Availability Codes						
Dist	Avail and/or Special					
A/						

Subj: Center for Naval Analyses Research Memorandum 88-236

```
Distribution List
SNDL
            ASSTSECNAV MRA
A1
           DASN MANPOWER (2 copies)
A1
A2A
           CNR
            HQMC MPR
A6
             Attn:
                     MP
             Attn:
             Attn:
                     MR
                     MA (2 copies)
             Attn:
                     MPP-39
             Attn:
A6
           HQMC RA
A6
            HOMC AVN
            CG MCRDAC, Washington
A6
FF38
            USNA
             Attn:
                     Nimitz Library
FF42
            NAVPGSCOL
            NAVWARCOL (2 copies)
FF44
            COMNAVMILPERSCOM
FJA1
FJB1
            COMNAVCRUITCOM
FKQ6D
            NAVPERSRANDCEN
                     Technical Director (Code 01)
             Attn:
                     Director, Testing Systems (Code 63)
             Attn:
             Attn:
                     Technical Library
                     Director, Personnel Systems (Code 62)
             Attn:
             Attn:
                     CAT/ASVAB PMO
                     Manpower Systems (Code 61)
             Attn:
FT1
            CNET
V12
            CG MCRDAC, Quantico
             Attn:
                     Director, Development Center Plans Division (Code D08)
                       (2 copies)
             Attn:
                     Commanding General
V12
            CGMCCDC
                     Training and Education Center
             Attn:
OPNAV
OP-01
OP-11
OP-13
OP-15
```

A MAXIMUM-LIKELIHOOD PROCEDURE FOR DEVELOPING A COMMON METRIC IN ITEM-RESPONSE THEORY

D. R. Divgi



ABSTRACT

Because the ability scale in itemresponse theory is arbitrary, if two
item pools are calibrated in two
different samples, their parameter
estimates must be placed on a common
metric using items administered in both
calibrations. In this memorandum, a
maximum-likelihood procedure for doing
so is derived and illustrated.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Department of Defense has developed a computerized adaptive testing (CAT) version of the Armed Services Vocational Aptitude Battery (ASVAB) in the Accelerated CAT-ASVAB Project (ACAP). Use of the CAT requires a large pool of items for each subtest. For Arithmetic Reasoning and Word Knowledge, it became necessary to supplement the original ACAP pool with items from the experimental CAT-ASVAB system developed earlier. This memorandum presents a maximum-likelihood procedure for performing some calculations needed to merge the two item pools.

CAT-ASVAB uses the three-parameter logistic model of item-response theory (IRT). In this model, each person is characterized by an ability parameter θ and each test item by three parameters a, b, and c. The quantities a, b, and c are called the discrimination, difficulty, and guessing parameters of the item.

The metric of the θ scale is arbitrary. One can transform θ , a, and b simultaneously in such a way that the probability of answering an item correctly remains unchanged for all persons and items. This creates a practical problem. Suppose two tests are calibrated—that is, their item parameters are estimated, using different samples of examinees. One set of item parameters must be transformed to the metric of the other before the two sets of estimates can be used together. This requires that the tests have some items in common.

Currently available procedures for determining a transformation define a criterion function and minimize it to estimate the transformation parameters. Although reasonable, the criterion function is not based on any principle or related to the larger problem of estimating item parameters.

Item parameters are usually estimated by the method of maximum likelihood. The same approach can be extended to transform the metric of one calibration to that of another. The method is illustrated in this memorandum using four forms each of five ASVAB subtests, which were included in calibrations of both the experimental and ACAP item pools. Results using this method are found to be close to those of an earlier method devised by Stocking and Lord.

Maximum likelihood is a viable procedure that can be used with item pools for future versions of CAT-ASVAB. It requires less computation than the Stocking-Lord method and makes use of information about standard errors of parameter estimates.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Pa	ge
ntroduction	1
etric Transformation in IRT	1
aximum Likelihood Approach	2
llustration	4
eferences	7

INTRODUCTION

The Armed Services Vocational Aptitude Battery (ASVAB) is used to select and classify enlisted personnel. It contains ten subtests: General Science (GS), Arithmetic Reasoning (AR), Word Knowledge (WK), Paragraph Comprehension (PC), Numerical Operations (NO), Coding Speed (CS), Auto and Shop Information (AS), Mathematics Knowledge (MK), Mechanical Comprehension (MC), and Electronics Information (EI). The Verbal (VE) subtest is defined as the sum of WK and PC.

The Department of Defense has developed a computerized adaptive testing (CAT) version of the ASVAB in the Accelerated CAT-ASVAB Project (ACAP). Use of the CAT requires a large pool of items for each subtest. For Arithmetic Reasoning and Word Knowledge, it became necessary to supplement the original ACAP pool with items from the experimental CAT-ASVAB system developed earlier [1]. The purpose of this memorandum is to present a maximum-likelihood procedure for performing some calculations needed to merge the two item pools.

METRIC TRANSFORMATION IN IRT

CAT-ASVAB uses the three parameter logistic model of item-response theory (IRT). In this model, each person is characterized by an ability parameter θ and each test item by three parameters a, b, and c. The probability that a person of ability θ will answer an item correctly is given by

$$P(\theta) = c + (1 - c)/[1 + \exp\{1.7a(b - \theta)\}]$$
.

The quantities a, b, and c are called, respectively, the discrimination, difficulty, and guessing parameters of the item.

The metric of the θ scale is arbitrary. It is possible to make a linear transformation of θ , a, and b in such a way that $P(\theta)$ remains unchanged. Suppose two tests are calibrated—that is, their item parameters are estimated, using samples of examinees from different populations. One set of item parameters must be transformed to the metric of the other before a useful analysis (e.g., equating) can be performed. This requires that the tests have at least two items in common.

Let estimates from the second calibration be transformed to the metric of the first. Transformed estimates of discrimination (a) and difficulty (b) parameters are given for each item by

$$a_2 = a_2/A , \qquad (1)$$

$$b_2^* = Ab_2 + B$$
, (2)

and for each person by

$$\theta_2^* = A\theta_2 + B , \qquad (3)$$

where * indicates a transformed value and the subscript refers to the calibration. It is easy to verify that the probability $P(\theta)$ is invariant under such transformations.

Recent procedures for estimating A and B are found in Stocking and Lord [2] and Divgi [3]. These methods estimate the parameters by minimizing a criterion function, which is a weighted sum of squares. The procedures are ad hoc in that the criterion functions, although reasonable, are not based on any principle. The purpose of this memorandum is to relate the estimation of A and B to the larger problem of estimating item parameters. This leads to a procedure that, like parameter estimation, is based on the principle of maximizing a likelihood function.

THE MAXIMUM-LIKELIHOOD APPROACH

No metric transformation would be necessary if a single joint calibration were performed using both samples at once. The two calibrations provide independent sets of parameter estimates for each item. If one tries to combine them so as to approximate the single set of estimates that a joint calibration would yield, a procedure for metric transformation emerges.

Ideally, all three parameters should be included in the calculations. However, the guessing parameter c is often difficult to estimate with the sample sizes available in practice. Wainer and Thissen [4] have shown that theoretical standard errors of the estimates of c can be very high for easy items. For this reason, compromises have to be made: data on different items must be pooled or Bayesian prior distributions must be used to keep the estimates reasonable. Standard errors of these estimates are much smaller than their theoretical values. Hence, given that the c parameter is not estimated by pure maximum likelihood in the original calibrations, no direct use of it is made in the theory given below.

Let vectors

$$p_1 = (a_1 \ b_1)'$$

and

$$p_2^* = (a_2^* b_2^*)'$$

denote the two pairs of estimates for an item common to both tests. They maximize \log likelihoods L_1 and L_2 in the two samples. Now suppose a joint calibration is performed and the results transformed to the metric of calibration 1. Denote these estimates by

$$p = (ab)'$$
,

which maximize $L_1 + L_2$. Therefore p can be calculated approximately from p_1 and p_2^* .

If the samples are large, estimates of item parameters are close to their true values. Therefore, if transformation parameters A and B are chosen properly, p_1 and p_2 are almost equal. In their neighborhood, the log likelihoods of responses observed in the samples are quadratic functions of the parameters. Denote the information matrices, i.e., 2 \times 2 matrices of second derivatives of log likelihood, by I_1 and I_2 . (Formulas for computing them in the three-parameter logistic model are given by Lord [5].) Let L_{1m} and L_{2m} be maximum values of log likelihoods of responses on the common items in calibrations 1 and 2. For any parameter vector p near p_1 and p_2 , log likelihood $L_1 + L_2$ for the two samples combined is given by

$$2(L_{1m}+L_{2m}-L_{1}-L_{2}) = \Sigma [(p-p_{1})'I_{1}(p-p_{1}) + (p-p_{2})'I_{2}(p-p_{2})]$$
(4)

where the sum is taken over all items. Minimizing this quantity over a single item leads to a linear equation for p. Its solution yields

$$p-p_2^* = (I_1+I_2^*)^{-1} I_1 (p_1-p_2^*)$$
.

A little matrix manipulation shows that the minimum value of the item's contribution to equation (4) is

$$(p_1-p_2^*)' \le (p_1-p_2^*)$$
, (5a)

where

$$S = I_1 - I_1(I_1 + I_2)^{-1} I_1 . (5b)$$

Multiplication verifies that

$$s = (I_1^{-1} + I_2^{*-1})^{-1}$$
.

Thus, for any given A and B, after minimizing over p for each common item.

$$2(L_{1m}+L_{2m}-L_{1}-L_{2}) = \Sigma (p_{1}-p_{2}^{*}) (I_{1}^{-1}+I_{2}^{*-1})^{-1} (p_{1}-p_{2}^{*}).$$
 (6)

Minimization of this quantity over A and B yields maximum likelihood estimates of the transformation parameters.

The argument leading to expression (6) is strictly correct only if true abilities are known. In practice the maximum likelihood estimates of θ are used instead [5], or the likelihood is marginalized by integrating over the distribution of ability (Bock and Aitkin [6]). It does not matter how the likelihood function is calculated; if it yields satisfactory estimates of item parameters, it can be used to compute the information matrices in expression (6).

The criterion function (6) is the same as in Divgi's minimum chisquare method [3]. In addition to supplying a theoretical basis for the minimum chi-square method, the maximum-likelihood approach shows how the guessing parameter c should be handled. Theoretical information functions involving derivatives with respect to c often greatly overestimate the true standard errors; hence they are excluded from the theory. Estimates of c do not appear directly in the criterion function; however, they are used in computing 2 \times 2 information matrices for a and b.

ILLUSTRATION

For each subtest in CAT-ASVAB, the item pool was divided into booklets. Each booklet was administered to a large sample of military

applicants, along with an operational form of the ASVAB. Hence the item calibration provided parameter estimates for operational ASVAB items as well as for the CAT pool. This design was used for the ACAP version of CAT-ASVAB [7] and also for the earlier experimental version [8].

ASVAB forms 9A, 9B, 10A, and 10B were used operationally in both calibrations. Therefore, two sets of parameter estimates are available for each form. Estimates for all subtests in the ACAP calibration and for five subtests in the experimental calibration have been provided to the Center for Naval Analyses by the Navy Personnel Research and Development Center. These five subtests are GS, AR, WK, PC, and MK.

The maximum-likelihood and Stocking-Lord [2] procedures were applied to each form of each of the five subtests. Information matrices needed in the maximum-likelihood method were computed under the assumption that the ability distribution was standard normal in each calibration. The same assumption was made while sampling θ values needed in the Stocking-Lord method. The normality assumption is reasonable and used frequently (for example, in the calibration of the ACAP item pool [7]).

The results are presented in table 1. For any given subtest, the results vary little from one form to another and from one method to the other. This is to be expected since all eight values (e.g., for A) are estimates of the same quantity.

The assumptions of the maximum-likelihood approach are reasonable, and its theory is simple. It is only to be expected that its results should agree with the more established Stocking-Lord procedure. The illustration serves primarily as a check on the computer program. It is much harder to decide whether one method is clearly preferable to the other. To do so would require extensive data analyses, which are beyond the scope of this paper. However, as pointed out in [3], the chi-square method involves much simpler computations and, unlike the Stocking-Lord method, makes use of information about the sampling errors of the estimates of item parameters.

TABLE 1

RESULTS OF MAXIMUM LIKELIHOOD AND STOCKING-LORD PROCEDURES

			Maximum likelihood		Stocking- Lord	
Subtest	Form	A_	B_	A_	<u>B</u>	
GS	9A	1.17	27	1.14	21	
GS	9B	1.16	28	1.09	20	
GS	10A	1.09	28	1.04	19	
GS	10B	1.13	23	1.19	26	
AR	9A	1.12	30	1.11	30	
AR	9B	1.17	31	1.14	31	
AR	10A	1.12	27	1.13	30	
AR	10B	1.16	35	1.13	34	
WK	9A	1.14	27	1.15	30	
WK	9B	1.24	34	1.22	33	
WK	10A	1.10	30	1.17	35	
WK	10B	1.16	34	1.13	33	
PC	9A	0.87	10	0.99	19	
PC	9B	1.01	26	1.03	28	
PC	10A	0.96	16	1.06	25	
PC	10B	1.05	19	1.11	29	
MK	9A	1.26	45	1.25	42	
MK	9B	1.32	51	1.29	45	
MK	10A	1.29	50	1.25	43	
MK	10B	1.27	45	1.30	45	

REFERENCES

- [1] Defense Manpower Data Center, Minutes of April 1987 Meeting of the Psychometric Committee, by Bruce Bloxom, 12 May 1987
- [2] M. L. Stocking and F. M. Lord. "Developing a Common Metric in Item Response Theory." Applied Psychological Measurement (Spring 1983): 201-210
- [3] D. R. Divgi. "A Minimum Chi-Square Method for Developing a Common Metric in Item Response Theory." Applied Psychological Measurement (Dec 1985): 413-415
- [4] Howard Wainer and David Thissen. "Some Standard Errors in Item Response Theory." Psychometrika, (Dec 1982): 397-412
- [5] F. M. Lord. Applications of Item Response Theory to Practical Testing Problems. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum, 1980
- [6] R. D. Bock and M. Aitkin. "Marginal Maximum Likelihood Estimation of Item Parameters: An Application of an EM Algorithm." *Psychometrika* (Dec 1981): 443-459
- [7] Air Force Human Resources Laboratory, Armed Services
 Vocational Aptitude Battery: Development of an Adaptive Item
 Pool, AFHRL-TR-85-19 by J. Stephen Prestwood, C. David Vale,
 Randy H. Massey and John R. Welsh, Sep 1985
- [8] J. B. Sympson and L. Hartmann. "Item Calibrations for Computerized Adaptive Testing (CAT) Experimental Item Pools," in D. J. Weiss (ed.), Proceedings of the 1982 Item Response Theory and Computerized Adaptive Testing Conference. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota, Apr 1985